DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 199 686

CS 005 981

AUTHOR.

Escoe, Adrienne S.

Word Association Extended: A Study of the Effects of

Written Context on Word Meahing.

PUB DATE NOTE

Apr 81
23p.: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

American Educational Research Association (Los

Angeles, CA, April 13-17, 1981)...

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF0 PC01 Plus Postage.

*Associative Learning: *Context Clues: Grade 6:

Intermediate Grades: *Reading Research: *Semantics:

*Word Recognition,

ABSTRACT

A study extended word association methodology beyond isolated word stimuli to investigate the effects of written context on the meanings that proficient readers impart to words. A repeated-measures design was used to assess the responses of 62 sixth grade readers to target words at three levels: no context, limited context, and expanded context. Two means used to assess the meaning of target words were number of response categories and proportion of paradigmatic responding. The results indicated that meanings attached to a word were more constrained when the word was embedded in a sentence than when it was isolated. The findings refute the implications of earlier word association research. (Author/FL)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been represent as received from the person or organization originating it.

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position of policy.

Word Association Extended: A Study of the Effects of Written Context on Word Meaning

Adrienne S. Escoe

Southwest Regional Laboratory for Educational Research and Development Los Alamitos, California 90720

> "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

<u> Adrienne S. Escoe</u>

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

American Educational Research Association Meeting
Los Angeles: April, 1981

18656 & Soic

The word association technique has a long history of use in the study of human development and behavior. Most of the efforts have been addressed to the developmental nature of associations between words (Bartel, Grill, & Bartel, 1973; Brown & Berko, 1960; Entwisle, Forsyth, & Muuss, 1964; Ervin, 1963; Francis, 1972; Lippman, 1971; McNeill, 1966; Palermo, 1971).

Some researchers have extended word association studies beyond the investigation of developmental patterns and have applied the technique as a tool for examining word meaning (Deese, 1965; Dinnan, Neilsen, & Crable, 1976; Ervin, 1961; Noble, 1952). The greatest weakness of these efforts, however, has been the failure to recognize the role of context in the impartation of meaning to words. Investigators have, for the most part, neglected to consider that word association research could contribute to an explanation of how word meaning is processed in contextual language, especially in reading. Instead they have tended to limit their work to the study of isolated words. The disregard of context in research methodology becomes critical when researchers attempt to apply their findings to an explanation of reading behavior. These investigations seem to suggest that responding to words in isolation is comparable to responding to words that are surrounded by others, such as would be the case in typical reading situations (Bigaj, Dinnan, & Crable, 1977; Dinnan, Bickley, & Cowart, 1971; Mickelson, 1972; Tobiessen, 1964). has been suggested that proficient readers are aware of the specific textual setting of a word and utilize the constraints of context, but that the "constraints" that are actually examined in association studies may bear little relationship to natural language (Weaver, Kingston, & Dinnan, 1971). Is it mainly a matter of historical accident that

association studies have yielded relatively little information toward an understanding of how connected discourse is processed? Furthermore, should researchers be asking whether such information can ever come from the data these studies generate (Fillenbaum & Jones, 1965)?

One of the purposes of this study was to meet a challenge raised by researchers such as Fillenbaum and Jones (1965): to expand the use of the word association technique as a tool for understanding how a reader imparts meaning to words encountered in written language. The technique of responding to word stimuli by free association (i.e., word association) is particularly appropriate for studying word meaning in reading because word association seems to figure prominently in a concept of word meaning as well as in a theory of reading behavior. As part of the study, a concept of meaning was developed that recognized the semantic attributes or features of a word (including syntactic attributes) and the constraints imposed by the surrounding context. There are features of a word that determine its placement in a semantic hierarchy, and these features form a network that represents word meaning (Anderson, 1972; Quillian, 1968). That is, how different words are related in terms of meaning is a function of the connections among the attributes of the words themselves. Further, assessments of word relatedness have been provided by the use of word association methodology in classic studies of word meaning.. The technique has been applied, however, only to words in isolation (Deese, 1962; Noble, 1952). Other research has focused on the associational aspect of context in the impartation of meaning to words. Hormann (1971), for one, suggested that context momentarily strengthens certain associations of a word, which has the effect of making other meanings less available to

the reader. An earlier study by Howes and Osgood (1954) suggests that word association is a function of the probability that a certain word will appear in various contexts:

The data from word association research can support not only a concept of word meaning, but a theory of reading behavior as well. There is a growing consensus among theorists that reading behavior is an hypothesistesting process, or a cognitive search for meaning and its verification. Within this theory it can be inferred that the associations of a word by means of its attributes and the constraints of the context surrounding the word contribute to readers' information-seeking and verifying processes by which hypotheses of meaningfulness for words encountered in reading are tested.

Supported by a concept of meaning that recognizes word attributes and contextual constraint and by an hypothesis-testing theory of reading, word association methodology was used to generate two hypotheses in this study. One hypothesis was based on the assumption that responses to target words could be assigned categories of meaning that would reflect various sets of attributes for the word. For example, given the target word bed, three responses were a flowerbed, a place to sleep, and something to sleep on. The features of the first response would suggest assignment to one category. The features of the second and third responses would suggest another category of meaning. The number of different categories of response to a target word, or range, was considered to be an indication of the meaning imparted to the word. Features that are supplied by context were seen as constraining the range of word meaning. It was hypothesized that the number of response categories would decrease as the

the written context surrounding the word increased. A second more likely to share semantic features with the word than a non-paradigmatic response (e.g., king - libn), was more likely to share semantic features with the word than a non-paradigmatic response (e.g., king - mad) (Francis, 1972). Paradigmatic responding to a word was considered to be another indication of the meaning imparted to a word. It was hypothesized that the proportion of paradigmatic responses to a word would increase as the size of the written context surrounding the word increased.

Method

Subjects

Sixty-two proficient sixth-grade readers participated in the study.

Subjects were drawn from the entire sixth grade of one elementary school (about 100 students) and from one class of another school (about 20 students). Both schools were located in a suburban county of the state of Maryland. Students were not included in the study if they did not score at least 5.0 on the reading comprehension subtest of the lowa Tests of Basic Skills administered one year prior to data collection or did not receive a positive rating on a scale developed by the investigator to provide a judgment of a reader's comprehension of a variety of written materials over several months' time. Each student included as a subject met additional criteria: did not repeat a grade; responded satisfactorily to all instruments, judged according to specified criteria (see Escoe, 1979); and received written parental permission to participate in the investigation.

Materials

The following instruments were developed by the investigator: an association test of isolated words, an association test of words in limited context, and association test of words in expanded context. The test of isolated words consisted of 21 nouns, including 10 target words that would be used to analyze effects of context. The test of limited context contained 16 single sentences, 10 of which included the same target words as the test of isolated words. The test of expanded context was composed of 11 sets of three sentences. Across sets the second sentences consisted of the 10 target sentences of the test of limited context plus one of the remaining six filler sentences drawn at random.

The 10 target words were selected by the following procedure to maximize equivalence of the target words. First, all nouns appearing on the Palermo and Jenkins (1964) list of 200 stimulus words were identified. Only nouns were used so that the findings of this study could be compared with prior research such as that of Mickelson (1972) and others which had drawn items from the same source. If a noun was classified as more than one part of speech, it was drawn for the word sample only if it was classified first as a noun according, to The Random House Dictionary of the English Language (Stein, 1969), which lists the most frequent classification first. Next, the resultant pool of 74 words was checked by two lists of frequency data to ensure that the words occured in fifth- and sixth-grade reading material (Carroll, Davies, & Richman, 1971; Thorndike & Lorge, 1944). The remaining 66 words were rated by six adults on a scale developed by the investigator to assess the imagery value of the words. The instrument was designed according to the theory that concrete

nouns are superior to abstract nouns in their capacity to elicit imagery, and that imagery can facilitate the formation of association between words (Paivio, 1965). Words rated as easy to picture were selected, because they would be more likely to facilitate responding. Finally, the group of 54 highly picturable words was narrowed to those words which received the 10 highest number of dictionary entries 1-isted for the word, using The Random House Dictionary. Target words that had the most entries were seen as supplying the greatest range of meaning categories.

The test of isolated words contained ll filler words in addition to the target words. The filler words were chosen randomly from all words that met the criteria described above for target words, except for imagery value and number of dictionary entries (i.e., they were selected from 56 words that remained after target words were removed from the list of 66(words). Filler words served training and procedural purposes. The tests of limited context and expanded context contained filler sentences for the same reasons. Each sentence generated for the limited context met additional criteria: was embedded with a stimulus word (target or filler) that was used as a noun; was generated with comparable syntax in serms of both structure and complexity according to Endicott's scale (1973); was generated according to "objective" case relations (Fillmore, 1968); was comprised entirely of words that met the Thorndike-Lorge (1944) and American Heritage (Carroll, et al., 1971) criteria specified for the selection of target words; and received a positive rating of acceptability from each of five graduate students in reading. Acceptability was based on whether the sentence was likely to be included in children's reading materials; that is, did not sound awkward or contrived. Each set of

(

sentences generated for the expanded context was constructed according to the general pattern for the limited context with additions: a sentence from the test of limited context was the second sentence within a set, and the first and third sentences in a set reflected "agentive" case relations (Fillmore, 1968).

Filler items were used to equalize responding time among the three instruments. The order of all items, including targets, was varied randomly for each booklet; however, the positions of target and filler items were fixed. For training purposes, a filler item appeared on the first page of each booklet. To maximize on-task reading behavior, all_items for the tests of limited context and expanded context were preceded by a restricted cloze task, an omitted word for each sentence determined randomly, applied to the same item but on a separate page. Sample target items are presented in Table 1.

Inser	rt i	Tabl	le 1	abo	out	here
111351			_	900	<i>,</i>	11616

Tasks-

Subjects were asked to respond to two tasks for each instrument:

Task I consisted of varied-length responses (single word, phrase, sentence)

to approximately half of the items, including five target words. Task 2

was restricted to single-word responses to the other test items, including the other five target words. The target words were:

	Task 1	.)# 	Task 2
	man	$S_{n+1} = \{ 1, \dots, n \}$	hand
	bed		king
	numbers		foot
-	square	,	' light
	head-		house



The filler words were: lamp (for each of the three tests); boy, bible. stove, city, and tobacco (for the tests of isolated words and limited context); and chair, river, anger, carpet, and religion (for the test of pisolated words only). Task I responses were analyzed in terms of the number of different meaning categories that were assigned to the responses by raters, while Task 2 responses were analyzed in terms of raters' designations of paradigmatic or non-paradigmatic. Estimates of interrater reliability were established among the three raters for each task. For Task 1 responses, both percentages of agreement and Kappa coefficients of agreement between pairs of raters were provided. Reliability estimated between each pair of raters, using percentages of agreement, ranged from 61.83 to 98.92 for individual target words, with a mean across all words and all pairs of raters at 88.21. With Kappa coefficients the range was from .445 to .981 with a mean of .827. For Task 2 responses, the Phi coefficient was used: interrater reliability was estimated at .798 to 1.000 for individual target words, with a mean of .954 across all words and all pairs of raters.

Procedure

Tests were administered individually to each subject on each of three days spaced one weed part. The administration of the instruments was ordered in six different sequences. Subjects were randomly assigned a sequence of test administration. For Task 1 items, subjects were asked to tell what the target word made them think of; for Task 2, they were asked to tell in one word what the target word made them think of. Responses were recorded in writing by the test administrator.

Design

A repeated-measures design was used for a single group of subjects. The effect of context on word meaning was assessed in two ways. One was through the number of different categories of meaning assigned by a rater as corresponding to responses to a word. A category number was assigned to each Task I response elicited under the three conditions of context, each response listed in order of data collection. No indication was given as to which association test was administered when the particular response was given. Category numbers were assigned from lists of dictionary entries selected according to specified criteria (see footnote 2) and tallied for each target word under each condition of context. The index of dispersion (D) was employed to assess the variation of response categories among the three conditions of context.

Another assessment of the effect of context on word meaning was provided by proportions of paradigmatic to total responses. A designation of paradigmatic or non-paradigmatic was assigned by a rater for each Task 2 response, listed in order of data collection. The particular test administered for any response was not indicated. The number of paradigmatic responses to each word was tallied and averaged and transformed into a mean proportion of total responses.

Results

Index of dispersion (D) values representing the number of different categories corresponding to subjects' responses to the five target words under three levels of written context are presented in Table 2. Examination of the table indicates that the number of different response categories

Insert Table 2 about here

decreased from the condition of a context of no words (is discovered) words) through that of three sentences (expanded context). Analysis of variance procedures (ANOVA) for repeated measures resulted in a decision to reject an hypothesis of he differences based upon the conservative F test (Geisser & Greenhouse, 1958); F (1,4) = 26.38, p < .01, MSe = .022. The decision also held with the conventional F test (Dayton, 1970; Romaniuk, Levin, & Hubert, 1977), F (2,8) = 26.38, p < .01, MSe = .022. Using the Newman-Keuls post hoc procedure with all possible pairwise comparisons at the .01 level, significant differences were found between the conditions of isolated words and single-sentence context and between isolated words and three-sentence contexts. The difference between single-sentence and three-sentence context, however, was found to be not significant.

Table 3. It may be seen from Table 3 that the mean proportion of paradigmatic to total responses for each target word and for the total target words increased from the condition of isolated words through the condition of a three-sentence context. Unlike the data collected to test the first hypothesis, these data provided frequency scores; therefore, scores of the

Insert Table 3 about here

mean proportions, and not D values, were the units employed in analysis of variance procedures. ANOVA for repeated measures resulted in significant differences under the three conditions of context for the main effect with

•

ERIC

*Full Text Provided by ERIC

both conservative and conventional F tests, F (1.61) = 377.70, p < .01. MSe = .084 and F (2,122) = 377.70, p < .01, MSe = .084, respectively. On the basis of the F tests, however, no decision was reached as to whether the effect of content (words) or the interaction of size of context and content was significant because of conflicting results of analyses; for both effects a conventional, F test resulted in the rejection of an hypothesis of no differences, F (4,244) = 5.20, F < .01, MSe = 180 (con tent) and $F \neq (8,488) = 3.91$, p = .01, MSe = .136 (context x content), while the conservative F test resulted in failure to reject an hypothesis of no differences, F=-11 = 5.20, $p \times .01$, MSe - .180 (content) and F=(1.61) = 3.91, p < .01, MSe = 136 (context a content) An attempt to test for homogeneity of variance covariate was unsuccessful due to a vanishing determinant and a singular matrix in the computational procedures. The main effect of context and the effect of content were threatly ated for the with all possible pairwise comparisons. Using the Neuman Keuls post hoc procedure at the .OI level, all differences of main effect were found to be significant. All comparisons, except on. If content were found to be not significant. In estigating the interaction of size of context and content further with the Schaffs post how procedure at the Office I (using only the largust alfferences between the difference by reen tent means in the contrasts), no inversellor effect was found to be algorith conc

of witten context on word meaning, the as whathing sthudology and in

served an additional research purpose, which was to extend the word association technique as a tool for understanding how meaning is imparted to words encountered in reading situations.

The finding that the size of the written context surrounding a word had a significant effect on the number of different response categories corresponding to the word appears to support the notion of reading as an hypothesis-testing behavior as advanced by Goodman (1967), Hall & Ribovitch (1973), Pearson (1978), Samuels (1970), and Smith (1978). It was predicted that the presence of a larger size of context contributes more information to assist the language user in attaching appropriate meaning to a word in reading. However, post hoc analysis indicated that the constraint in choice of meaning provided by a three-sentence context was not significantly greater than that provided by a single sentence one. A plausible inter pretation of this finding is that given the limitations of studying only three conditions of context, a typical orlitem sentential context (Clearly not an isolated word) prior to and following a word tends to serve the reader in hypothesis resting by pro-liding him Of her will en high features that may be associated with the word. Of course, if sent here are conatrocked engress, by restance somble on another that he often treams In language research or end difference reading contacts and extent of the the content of teach of the content أنجا مقمدين بالمصعف أنجيد أتجفعوا ويوا

The finding that accels the limit of the following and the supports the classical by Hörman. (19/1), that writeen such as the composite formers to assist

the reader in limiting the meanings he or she attaches to a word. The support is based on the notion that paradigmatic associations are more likely to reflect shared features of words (Francis, 1972). Why one indication of word meaning, that is categories of response, and a second indication, paradigmatic responding, did not yield wholly consistent results is subject to further study. One explanation, albeit a premature one, is that single-word responses (Task 2) to determine paradigmatic/non-paradigmatic associations to word stimuli produced a more restricted indication of meaning than multiple word responses (Task 1)

From the data gathered here it seems reasonable to conclude that the many researchers who used the word association Technique with iso lated words may have overgeneralized when they applied their findings to implications concerning contextual-language behavior, especially reading. for example, Mickelson (1972) studied the relationship between associative verbal encoding, a measure of fluency in responding to isolated words, and reading achievement. She found that improved associative verbal encoding resulted in better reading posturmance. From the results of his study. Mickelson concluded that flurney in responding to words round eem to be an essential component in an hypothesis testing theory or reading comprehension. While a readily available repertulie of Indi id alouerd may be an indication of an indicidual's competence in any linguistic process (including reading), a notion that Michels in escribes to man linguists, it is difficult to accept this notion as support for a the of reading comprehension in the absence of appropriate investigation of lan guage units longer than a single word. Acknowledging the limitations of the present study, the results attest that responses to isolated word stimuli



are not the same as responses to words that are embedded in a linguistic context. Further research is suggested to strengthen the conclusions of this study and to widen their applicability: double-blind replication with other populations, larger contexts, other target words; study of the contributions of extra-linguistic context and interaction with linguistic context in the impartation of meaning to words; investigation of instructional applications, such as the effect of context on teaching/learning word meaning.

In the past, word association research has contributed much toward explanations of human development and behavior. It has helped in the study of some language processes. What word association research has failed to do up to now, however, is provide information about word meaning in written contexts. From these data, it appears that the word association technique extended is not only appropriate as a tool for investigating word meaning in reading situations, but labo can be a reliable and efficacious means to a crossroads of inquiry, mamely meaning and reading.

References

- Anderson, R. C. Semantic organization and the retrieval of information from sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 1972, 11, 794-800.
- Bartel, N. R., Grill, J. J., & Bartel, J. W. The syntactic paradigmatic shift in learning disabled and normal children. *Journal of Learning*. *Disabilities*, 1973, 6, 518-527.
- Bigaj, J. J., Dinnan, J. A., & Crable, E. Word contrasts: Beginning readers' responses. Reading Improvement, 1977, 14, 68-70.
- Brown, R., & Berko, J. Word association and the acquisition of grammar. Child Development, 1960, 31, 1-14.
- Carroll, J. F., Davies, P., & Richman, B. The American Heritage word frequency book. New York: American Heritage Publishing Company, 1971.
- Dayton, C. M. The design of educational experiments. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1970.
- Deese, J. Form class and the determinants of association. Journal of Verbul Learning and Verbul Behavior, 1962, 1, 79-84.
- Deese, J. The structure of associations in language and thought.

 Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1965.
- Dinnan, J., Bickley, A. C., & Cowart, H. An analysis of semantic products of disadvantaged and advantaged second, third, and fourth grade good and poor readers. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 1971, 3(2), 22-26.
- of "slow" learners. Keading improvement, 1976, 13, 144-146.
- Teaching of English, 1973, 2, 5-12.
- shift in children's word association. I urnal of Verbal Learning and Verbal behavior, 1964, 3, 19-29.
- American Journal of Paychology, 1961, 2., 361-372, --
- Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1963, 1, 422-431

- Escoe, A. S. The effects of written linguistic context on word meaning for proficient sixth grade readers (Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland, 1979). Dissertation Abstracts International, 1980, 42, 1509 A. (University Microfilms No. 8021868.)
- Fillenbaum, S., & Jones, L. V. Grammatical contingencies in word association. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1965, 4, 248-255.
- Fillmore, C. J. The case for case. In E. Bach and R. T. Harms (Eds.),

 Universals in linguistic theory. New York: Holt, Rinehart and
 Winston, 1968.
- Francis, H. Toward an explanation of the syntagmatic-paradigmatic shift.

 Child Development, 1972, 43, 949-958.
- Geisser, S., & Greenhouse, S. W. An extension of Box's results on the use of the F distribution in multivariate analysis. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 1958, 29, 885-891.
- Goodman, K. S. A psycholinguistic guessing game. Journal of the Reading Specialist, 1967, 6, 126-135.
- Hall, M., & Ribovitch, J. K. Teach reading in reading situations. In J. K. Ribovitch (Ed.), Views on education roles and objectives (Position Papers in Early Childhood-Elementary Education, Reading Center). University of Maryland, 1973.
- Hörmann, H. [Psycholinguistics: An introduction to research and theory]
 (H. H. Stern, trans.). New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971
- Howes, D. H., & Osycod, C. E. On the combination of associative probabilities in linguistic contexts. *American Journal of the transfer de* 1954, 67, 241-258.
- traids. nurmal of Varbul Learning and Verbal Behavior, 13/1, L., 392-399
- Verial behavior 1,66, ., 548-557
- language performance and its relationshi, to lest glachiere en (Doctoral dissertation, university of Washington, 1972) Dissert Abstracts International, 1972, 33, 193A. (University Million in 72-20879.)
- House, C. E. An analysis of meaning they be a proved a second by a mile 421-430

- Palermo, D. S. Characteristics of word association responses obtained from children in grades one through four. Developmental Psychology, 1971, 5, 118-123.
- Palermo, D. S., & Jenkins, J. J. Word association norms: Grade school through college. Minneapolis: Unjugersity of Minnesota Press, 1964.
- Paivio, A. Abstractness, imagery, and meaningfu)ness in paired-associate learning. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1965, 4,-32-38.
- Pearson, P. D. Some practical applications of a psycholinguistic model of reading. In S. J. Samuels (Ed.), What research has to say about reading instruction. Newark, DE: International Reading Association, 1978.
- Quillian, M. R. Semantic memory In M Minsky (Ed.), Semantic information processing Cambridge, MA: M.I.T. Press, 1968.
- Romaniuk, J. G. (Levin, J.R., & Hubert, t.). Hypothesis testing procedures in repeated-measures designs: On the road not taken. Child Develop-ment, 1977, 48, 1757-1760.
- Samuels, S. J., Recognition of flashed words by children. Child Development, 1970, 41, 1089-1094.
- Smith, f. Understanding readers (2nd ed.) Toronto: Moli Kinehait, & Winston, 1978.
- Stein, J. (Ed.) The Kundum House. James and in the Employer and implication and implication of the New York: Random House, 1965.
- Thorndike, E. L., & Lorge, L. The boarhar's word brook of Depter with Press, 1944.
- children's word associations and verbul achievement (D. ctural dissertation, Pennyslvania Scate University, 1964). Dissertation Abstracts International, 1965, 20, 1190A (University Microfilms No. 65-67/0)
 - Let W , K(n) and n = 1 , L(n) , L(n) . We release and increment examples along the L(n) such that L(n) is L(n) and L(n) are L(n) and L(n) and L(n) are L(n) are L(n) and L(n) are L(n) are L(n) and L(n) are L(n) are L(n) are L(n) and L(n) are L(n) and L(n) are L(n) are

Footnotes

Detailed support for these notions and how they contribute to a theory of reading is provided in the author's doctoral dissertation completed at the University of Maryland, 1979.

²For consistency, criteria were established for including/excluding individual entries in the count. Included were: expressions beginning with the stimulus word; proper nouns, titles (e.g., Man and Superman); each numbered entry, excluded were: affixed words, if the unaffixed word is counted (e.g., heads); possessives; non-English expressions (e.g., man spricht deutsch); lettered items within entries; abbreviations; hyphenated words.



Table 1

	Examples of Ta	arget Items	
	Test of Isola	ated Words	
Œ.		Target-Response Presentation	,
		<u>numbers</u>	•
	Test of Limit	ted Context	
	Restricted Cloze Presentation	Target-Response Presentation	چې د د روغو
Во	th numbers came the animal acts	Both <u>numbers</u> came after the animal	acts.
	after statue regular	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
		e transfer o protessant o a second	-
	Test of Expan	nded Context	,
	Restricted Cloze Presentation	Target-Response Presentation	
	Bob tore the music sitting on stand above the piano. Both numbers came the animal acts he walked out the staye and sand in the empty street.	Bob tore the music sitting on the stand above the plano. Both numbers came after the animal acts. He walked out the stage door and sang in the empty street.	#
	L		



Table 2

Index of Dispersion (D) Values
for Number of Different Response Categories
to Task 1 Words by Context

,	Context				
Target Word	Isolated, 'Word	Limited	Expanded	Melan	
man	.878	. 709	184	. 591	
bed	. 864	. 648	.313	. 608	
numbers	. 852	452	.418	. 574	
square	. 879	. 292	. 0 32	; 401	
head	<u>.913</u>	. 154	032	<u> 366</u>	
Me an	~. 877	. 451	. 196	. 508	
			ا معدد و هد و اد		



Table 3

Mean Proportions of Paradigmatic to Total Responses
Across Task 2 Words by Context

,	Context				
Target Word	l'solated Word	Limited	Expanded	Mean	
hand	.419	. 694	1.000	. 704	
ki ng ,	. 210	. //4	984	. 656	
foot	. 30 /	774	1 000	694	
light	∠58	548	984	597′	
house	581	<u>. 790</u>	1.000	. <u>790</u>	
Mean	. 35 5	./10	.994	688	

e



